

Harry Rogers

RECEIVED

Las Vegas Science Center Transcripts

OCT 19 2001

00010

23 MR. ROGERS: Harry, H-A-R-R-Y, Rogers,

24 R-O-G-E-R-S.

25 I'm opposed to Yucca Mountain for a number of

00011

1 reasons. One, I don't believe that we have clear title

2 to the land. And, two, the site selection process

3 used, the suitability selection process, is political

4 rather than scientific.

5 In a conversation with Chairman Meserve, he,

6 in effect, admitted that, that the Congressional

7 mandate to move it from 12 sites to one and to change

8 it from finding a site that matched a suitability

9 criteria into finding, selecting Yucca Mountain and

10 then making Yucca Mountain, making Yucca Mountain match

11 some undetermined suitability criteria, it's not a

12 solution to nuclear waste.

13 I say that as a nuclear power worker. I work

14 in a nuclear power facility, VC Summer, in

15 Jenkinsville, South Carolina. Moving the spent fuel

16 from South Carolina to Nevada does not solve the waste

17 problem. It's still high-level radioactive nuclear

18 waste and, in my opinion, what it is is a nuclear shell

19 game and it is intended to give the appearance of
20 coming up with a solution rather than coming up with a
21 real scientific and technical solution.

22 I personally feel that it is nuclear power
23 workers that are the ones that should be the most
24 outraged of the, at the Department of Energy for
25 following this path. I do feel, as a nuclear power

00012

1 worker, that spent nuclear fuel is a Federal
2 responsibility and I think that the spent nuclear fuel
3 should be stored on site until such time that they find
4 a true scientific and technical solution to the
5 problem.

6 That should do it. Thanks a lot.